

**CONFIDENTIAL**

FILE

DD/S 69-2870

20 JUN 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: SUBJECT : Proposed Amendment of  Clearance of Personnel  
for Duty with CIA

I have approved the attached revision of  with one exception which appears in (2) MINIMUM INVESTIGATION (c). Please note I have deleted the word "loyalty". It is my recollection that when the original regulation was drafted we debated whether the word "loyalty" should appear in this subsection and determined at that time it should not. Please note this reference to the paragraph reflecting interviews with three references named by the applicant and logically we would expect favorable reports from those three; additionally, a minimum of three other persons who have knowledge of the subject applicant over a period of time. This element of investigation was largely directed to the determination of the character and integrity which could be determined through these type persons having social and personal relationships with the applicant. As I recall, to specify loyalty as an additional criteria would place an investigative burden on the investigator which he could not properly satisfy through this medium of investigation. Accordingly, loyalty was not included. The question of loyalty is adequately covered in the other elements of investigation particularly in the checks of other official records at the Federal and law enforcement level.

SIGNED R. L. Bannerman

R. L. Bannerman  
Deputy Director  
for Support

Att.

DD/S:RLB:kd (20 June 69)


Distribution:

Orig - <sup>1st</sup>Adse w/att (DD/S 69-2668)

1 - DD/S Subject

1 - DD/S Chrono


DD/S 69-2668: Memo dtd 10 June 69 for ExDir-Compt, DD/S&T, DD/P, DD/I, IG,  
General Counsel, fr C/SSS, same subj

**CONFIDENTIAL**

MR.

*Mr.*

*Mr.*



Reg:

Mr. Bannerman - in DDS

[redacted] 3 April to CIOF -

asked that an all-employee  
Notice be prepared in addition  
to the Reg.

[redacted]

[redacted]

9 JUN 1969

attached letter

Mr. Coffey -

I hesitate to give this back to  
you in this format -- it was  
returned as you see it -  
with additional info from Mr.  
Pearson and a Blue Slip.

I will have to redo the Blue Slip  
before it is forwarded.  
Thought it best that you review  
content before I do.

p.

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002800160011-5

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002800160011-5

SECRET

6 June 1969

NOTE FOR: Mr. Coffey

1. [ ] backup indicates these coordinations:

25X1

[ ]

2. The basic authority for this regulation is a public law applicable to civilian employees of executive agencies. That law, however, states that cases involving sums in excess of \$500 will go to the General Accounting Office for adjudication. Since the Agency regulation departs from the stated provisions of the law, we are in effect using the special authorities of the Director under 8b CIA Act of 49:

"The sums made available to the Agency may be expended without regard to the provisions of law and regulations relating to the expenditure of Government funds; and for objects of a confidential, extraordinary, or emergency nature, such expenditure to be accounted for solely on the certificate of the Director."

This was checked with [ ] OF, who worked on the regulation; and verified by [ ] OGC, who stated that some years ago the Director's authority under 8b was delegated to the Deputy Director.

3. Have prepared a "blue slip" for DDCI.


[ ]

Support Operations Staff/DDS

25X

Att

SECRET



UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>					
TO	STAT	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	6/3/65	8/20	
2		Mr. Coffey			
3		Mr. Bannerman			
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	

**Remarks:**

Request concurrence on both  by Deputy Director for Support and forwarding to the DDCI for authentication. According to OGC, DDCI signature is required because the proposal involves expenditure of confidential funds under Section 8b of the CIA Act of 1949. Concurrences were obtained from D/PPB for ExD-C, and from the Offices of DDI, DDP, DDS&T and IG, as well as OGC.

Headquarters and field notices on the same subject are also coordinated and are attached. The  has been prepared for DDS signature following DDCI approval of the regulations; the  will be sent to DDP for signature when the rest of the package is returned to SSS/RCB.

<b>FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER</b>		
FROM: NAME	PHONE NO.	DATE
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 40px;"></div>		JUN 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: General Cushman

Although this regulation is based on public law, the Agency does not propose to adhere to the exact provisions of that law which requires that cases involving sums in excess of \$500 be submitted to the General Accounting Office for adjudication. In effect, then, we are using the special authorities for the expenditure of funds contained in section 8b of the CIA Act of 1949 which requires the Director's approval which some time ago was delegated to the Deputy Director.

R. L. Bannerman

\_\_\_\_\_  
(DATE)



4 June 1969

To Mr.  -

*Jack - Please follow up*

Mr. Coffey would like to know more about the attached.

*R*

He said that if we are to go to General Cushman for signature we need to know names of coordinators -- who spoke for the General Counsel, etc.?

Also, prior to forwarding to General Cushman, an appropriate "Blue Slip" will have to be prepared.

Thanks -

p.



*The Coffee*

